Interview Summary	09/818,168		MUNOZ ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Martin A. Gottscha	alk	3694	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Martin A. Gottschalk</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Joes Bootsin (Applicant's attorney)</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>06 September 2007</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claim 1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reach	ned. h)⊠ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Suggestions were made that might lead to an allowance. Suggestions included adding steps to beginning and end of recited method to make it more complete and removing certain complicating language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Exa	miner's signa	ature, if required	

Application No.

Applicant(s)